



Rubric for Evaluating Assessment Plans and Reports (2014-2018)

Program Name
8
Year

Components	Exemplary	Levels of Performance Acceptable	Emeraina
Mission Statement	Clear and concise.	Statement of the program's purpose and who it	 General statement of the intent of the program.
	 Specific to the unit identifies what it does that 		• Identifies the functions performed but not the
	separates it from other units).	 Aligned with the college and division mission 	greater purpose.
	 Addresses the larger impact of the program. 	statements.	 Does not identify stakeholders.
	 Identifies stakeholders. 	Scope and reach may be limited.	Fails to demonstrate clear alignment with college or
	 Aligned with the college and division mission and 		division mission.
	with respective professional organization, if		Too general to distinguish the unit or too specific to
	applicable.		encompass the entire mission.
Objectives	 Encompass a discipline-specific body of knowledge 	 Encompass the mission of the program and/or the 	 Incomplete – not addressing the breadth of
	for academic units (may also include general	central principles of the discipline.	knowledge, skills, or services associated with the
	competencies); focus on the cumulative effect of	 Aligned with program, college, and university 	program or unit.
	the program.	mission.	 Objectives identified don't seem
	 Align with college and university goals and with 	Appropriate, but language may be vague or need	important/aligned with the program mission.
	professional organizations, where applicable.	revision.	• Fails to note appropriate associations (to
	 Associations (to goals, standards, institutional 		goals, standards, institutional priorities, etc.).
	priorities, etc.) are identified, where		
	appropriate.		
Learning Outcomes	Observable and measurable.	 Observable and measurable. 	Describe a process, rather than an outcome (i.e.
	 Encompass a discipline-specific body of 	 Encompass the mission of the program and/or 	language focuses on what the program does,
	knowledge for academic units (may also include	the central principles of the discipline.	rather than what the student learns)
	general competencies); focus on the cumulative	 Aligned with program, college, and university 	 Unclear how an evaluator could determine
	effect of the program.	mission.	whether the outcome has been met
	 Reasonable number of outcomes identified - 	 Appropriate, but language may be vague or need 	 Incomplete – not addressing the breadth of
	enough outcomes to adequately encompass the	revision.	knowledge, skills, or services associated with
	mission while still being manageable to evaluate		the program.
	and assess.		Outcomes identified don't seem important/aligned
	 Uses action verbs. 		with the program mission.
	 Describe the level of mastery expected, 		 Fails to note appropriate associations (to goals,
	appropriate to degree type (BS/BA, MS, PhD) if		standards, institutional priorities, etc.)
	applicable.		
	 Align with college and university goals and with 		
	professional organizations, where applicable.		
	 Accurately classified as instructional" or "non- 		
	instructional".		
	 Associations (to goals, standards, institutional 		

West Texas A&M University

Components Methods	• Multiple measures for some or all outcomes.	• At least 1 measure or measurement	• Not all outcomes have associated measures
Medioda	 Direct and indirect measures used; emphasis on direct. 	approach per outcome. Direct and indirect measures are utilized.	Few or no direct measures used Methodology is questionable.
	 Instruments reflect good research methodology. Feasible - existing practices used where possible; at least some measures apply to multiple outcomes. Purposeful - clear how results could be used for program improvement. Described with sufficient detail (documents attached in Document Repository, where appropriate). 	 Described with sufficient detail. Implementation may still need further planning. 	 Instruments are vaguely described; may not be developed yet. Course grades used as an assessment method. Do not seem to capture the "end of experience" effect of the curriculum/program.
Criteria	 Aligned with measures and outcomes. Represent a reasonable level of success. Specific and measurable. Meaningful - based on benchmarks, previous results, existing standards. 	 Aligned with measures and outcomes. Target identified for each measure. Specific and measurable. Some targets may seem arbitrary. 	 Targets have not been identified for every measure, or are not aligned with the measure. Seem off-base (too low/high). Language is vague or subjective (e.g. "improve", "satisfactory") making it difficult to tell if met. Aligned with assessment process rather than results (e.g. survey return rate, number of papers reviewed).
Assessment Results	 Complete, concise and well-organized. Appropriate data collection/analysis. Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target. Provide solid evidence that targets were met, partially met, or not met. Compares new findings to past trends, as appropriate. Supporting documentation (rubrics, surveys, more complete reports*, etc.) are included in the document repository. *Reports must be free of student identifiable information. 	 Complete and organized. Aligned with the language of the corresponding achievement target. Address whether targets were met. May contain too much detail or stray slightly from intended data set. 	 Incomplete or too much information. Not clearly aligned with achievement targets. Questionable conclusion about whether targets were met, partially met, or not met. Questionable data collection/analysis; may "gloss over" data to arrive at conclusion.
Actions	 Action plans clearly follow from assessment results and directly state which finding(s) was used to develop the plan. Actions are realistic. Identifies an area that needs to be monitored, remediated, or enhanced. Defines logical "next steps." Contains completion dates. Identifies a responsible person/group. Number of actions are manageable. 	 Reflects with sufficient depth on what was learned during the assessment cycle. At least one action plan in place. Action plans follow from assessment results. 	 Not clearly related to assessment results. Seems to offer excuses for results rather than thoughtful interpretation or "next steps" for program improvement. No action plans or too many to manage. Too general; lacking details (e.g. time frame, responsible party).

West Texas A&M University...

		Levels of Performance	
Components	Exemplary	Acceptable	Emerging
Closing the Loop	 Evidence of a formal and effective feedback and 	 Evidence of formal review process of assessment 	 Limited evidence of a periodic review or use of
Analysis	improvement process.	data for most goals or learning outcomes.	assessment data for some program goals or learning
	 Most program faculty members are engaged in a 	 Some program faculty members are engaged in a 	outcomes.
	regular assessment of data with student learning	regular assessment of data with student learning	 Few program faculty members are engaged in a
	and stakeholder feedback routinely used to improve	and stakeholder feedback routinely used to improve	regular assessment of data with student learning
	curriculum, instruction, and/or learning.	curriculum, instruction, and/or learning.	and stakeholder feedback routinely used to improve
	 Responses or actions are supported by multiple 	 Responses or actions are supported by evidence or 	curriculum, instruction, and/or learning.
	pieces of evidence or feedback on program goals or	feedback on program goals or learning outcomes.	 Actions are identified, but not implemented.
	learning outcomes.	 Assessing student learning is an additional activity 	Assessing student learning plays little to no role in
	 Assessing student learning is fully integrated in the 	that has some value for the program.	the program's vision and operations.
	program's vision and operations.	 Program demonstrates and documents some 	Program demonstrates and documents no/minimal
	 Evidence that follow-up information has been 	improvements in student learning over time.	improvements in student learning.
	shared, discussed, and acted on by relevant groups,		
	as appropriate.		
	 Program demonstrates and documents significant, 		
	continuous improvements in student learning over		
	multiple cycles of assessment.		